International Journal of Business and Applied Economics (IJBAE)
Vol. 5 No. 2 (2026): March 2026 : 713-724

The Synergy of Digital Transformation and Green Innovation on Sme Sustainability Performance: The Mediating Role of Esg Management in High-Quality Development

Galery[1*] , Fangling[2]
Yangzhou University, Tiongkok
Corresponding Author: Galery : galerygalery4@gmail.com

A R T I C L E I N F O
Keywords: Digital Performance, Innovation, Development, Mediating

Received : 12 January
Revised : 23 February
Accepted: 20 March

A B S T R A C T
The global business landscape has entered a period of rapid structural change, where the fusion of cutting-edge digital technologies, the urgency of ecological sustainability, and constantly shifting market dynamics redefine how organizations compete, survive, and grow. This multidimensional pressure is acutely felt by Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs), which form the backbone of the economy in emerging markets. This research report presents a comprehensive academic analysis of how digital transformation and green innovation interact to drive high-quality development in SMEs, positioning Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) management as the central mediating mechanism. Through the integrative lens of the Resource-Based View (RBV) and Dynamic Capabilities Theory, this report unpacks the transmission routes through which investments in digital assets and green technology capabilities yield resilient and sustainable competitive advantages. Furthermore, ESG management operates as a crucial partial mediator, translating digital and green capabilities into high-quality business performance outcomes. Rooted in the academic context of the Yangtze River Delta Economic Belt a strategic industrial hub frequently studied by leading institutions such as the Business School at Yangzhou University this report outlines advanced strategic insights for executives and policymakers navigating the dual economy transition.

INTRODUCTION

Entering the mid-2020s, the global economy is influenced by macro-structural forces that fundamentally alter how companies operate and interact with stakeholders. Three dominant forces dictating modern commerce are environmental sustainability, evolving globalization, and massive digital transformation. Contemporary companies face immense institutional and market pressures to adopt sustainable business practices. Consumer behavior has also crystallized into new patterns; post-COVID-19 pandemic habits, such as digital connectivity and individual-centric media consumption, have metamorphosed into permanent expectations.

Interestingly, despite macroeconomic uncertainties characterized by persistent inflation and interest rate fluctuations, consumers have not ceased their consumption. Instead, they make rational compromises, cutting spending in some categories while maintaining premium expenditures on brands that align with their personal values. These values consistently include social justice, environmental preservation, and health-conscious lifestyles. This shift toward conscious consumerism, combined with the demand for corporate authenticity, forces companies to integrate non-financial performance metrics into their core strategies.

Amidst this macroeconomic turbulence, Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) find themselves at a critical crossroads. In developing and transitional economies such as the Yangtze River Delta Economic Belt in China SMEs play a vital role in driving aggregate economic growth and maintaining the stability of national supply chains. However, SMEs often face significant resource constraints, including acute financial limitations, digital talent deficits, and structural barriers in implementing advanced manufacturing technologies.
The convergence of economic digitalization and the global imperative for green transition presents severe adaptation pressures but simultaneously offers unprecedented windows of opportunity. Rapid and targeted digitalization allows SMEs to implement intelligent production modes, optimize cost structures, and improve marginal productivity. In parallel, increasingly strict government regulations regarding carbon emissions force SMEs to rapidly innovate green technologies to avoid regulatory penalties and supply chain exclusion.

This research comprehensively models how SMEs can synergistically weave digital transformation and green technology innovation initiatives. The primary focus is to prove that integrating these two pillars optimizes the quality of ESG management, which in turn triggers high-quality growth and long-term performance sustainability.

Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

Contemporary business management studies increasingly demand solid theoretical foundations to explain corporate adaptation to external disruptions. This research builds its arguments on two main theoretical pillars: the Resource-Based View and Dynamic Capabilities Theory, contextualized within the digital-green fusion domain.

Resource-Based View (RBV) and the Creation of VRIN Assets

The Resource-Based View postulates that a company's competitive advantage stems from its ability to manage a portfolio of internal resources characterized as Valuable, Rare, Inimitable, and Non-substitutable (VRIN). In the modern circular economy, digital transformation facilitates the creation of next-generation VRIN assets, such as customized artificial intelligence systems and predictive big data analytics.

For SMEs, owning information technology infrastructure combined with consumer behavior databases becomes a highly valuable strategic resource. Digital capabilities are inherently difficult for competitors to imitate when deeply intertwined with the company's organizational DNA and decision-making routines. The integration of digital VRIN resources with traditional non-VRIN resources is essential for ensuring corporate sustainability.

Dynamic Capabilities in an Era of Turbulence

While RBV provides a solid analytical framework for static equilibrium, the highly volatile post-pandemic business environment requires superior organizational agility. To bridge this theoretical gap, Dynamic Capabilities Theory explains how organizations proactively integrate, build, and reconfigure internal competencies and external partnerships to master rapidly changing macro environments.

In the phenomenon termed "digital-green fusion" by academics at Yangzhou University and other leading institutions, dynamic capabilities act as the essential binding moderator. Companies endowed with strong adaptive capabilities can extract maximal commercial value from their digital infrastructure to achieve better ecological preservation outcomes. Green innovation at the SME level is essentially a pure manifestation of this dynamic capability.

Digital Transformation as a Fundamental SME Driver

Digital transformation in the SME landscape is not merely about digitizing paper documents. It refers to radical changes catalyzed by integrating cutting-edge technologies like cloud computing, the Internet of Things (IoT), and artificial intelligence into the entire business model spectrum. Empirical literature consistently documents that digital adoption enables SMEs to break geographic boundaries, facilitate real-time interactions with global suppliers, and overcome size-related limitations. The efficiencies generated often act as a crucial liquidity buffer, freeing up capital for long-term R&D initiatives.

Green Innovation and Digital-Green Learning Orientation

Green innovation encompasses a broad range of activities, from biologically recyclable product design to manufacturing optimization aimed at reducing fossil energy consumption. Unlike conventional innovation, green innovation must deliver a double dividend: reducing ecological friction while stimulating sustainable economic growth. Because green innovation strategies tend to be high-risk and require massive upfront capital, many SME managers exhibit investment reluctance.

To mitigate this risk, literature introduces the Digital-Green Learning Orientation (DGLO) concept, which measures a company's institutional commitment to proactively adopting digital technology knowledge alongside eco-friendly manufacturing practices.

The Evolution of ESG Management as a Corporate Value Determinant

The Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) framework has evolved from a voluntary reporting instrument into the gold standard for corporate valuation. The Environmental aspect assesses ecological management the Social aspect measures employee welfare and community relations; and Governance highlights managerial transparency and ethics. Strong ESG reporting acts as a quality signal that reduces information asymmetry, lowering the cost of capital and enhancing corporate appeal to both modern consumers and top-tier talent.

Research Hypothesis Development

The analytical modeling framework in this research is architected around the causal interactions between four main variables: Green Innovation (GI), Digital Transformation (DT), ESG Management, and High-Quality Development (HQD).

The Relationship between Green Innovation and ESG Management

Green innovation is inherently designed to minimize negative environmental externalities throughout the product lifecycle. By promoting green technology, enterprises adjust their industrial structures to be energy-saving, directly elevating the Environmental metrics within ESG management. Furthermore, an active increase in green innovation capacity often leads to deeper interventions in social welfare and green talent absorption, holistically boosting overall ESG performance.

Hypothesis 1 (H1): Green Innovation has a positive, direct, and statistically significant influence on promoting ESG Management capabilities.

The Impact of Digital Transformation on ESG Architecture

Digital transformation dismantles rigid traditional business models by introducing intelligent manufacturing execution systems. Digitalization gradually shifts the operational focus from mere product quantity to product quality and public accountability. Stronger strategic awareness of digital transformation among executives facilitates highly standardized, accurate, and transparent ESG reporting.

Hypothesis 2 (H2): Digital Transformation significantly facilitates and enhances ESG Management maturity.

The Central Role of ESG Management in High-Quality Development

High-Quality Development (HQD) marks a macroeconomic turning point from extensive, volume-focused growth to an intensive, efficient, and eco-aligned growth model. ESG management acts as a significant intermediary between transformation and high-quality growth, serving as an engine that maximizes corporate value.

Hypothesis 3 (H3): ESG Management significantly promotes High-Quality Development.

Synergistic and Reciprocal Interaction Digitalization and Greening

Extensive literature identifies digital transformation as an essential catalytic infrastructure for green innovation incubation. Digital tools reduce R&D failure rates through virtual prototyping. Conversely, the push for green innovation forces management to accelerate capital expenditure in digital infrastructure.

Hypothesis 4 (H4): Green Innovation promotes Digital Transformation, demonstrating a mutually reinforcing synergy.

Achieving High-Quality Development through Innovation and Digitalization

Implementing green technologies enables SMEs to recalibrate operations into highly energy-efficient models, suppressing carbon emissions and ensuring long-term profitability. Simultaneously, the integration of smart industrial hardware and analytic software acts as a new productive force with high penetration, allowing businesses to break through historical bottlenecks.

Hypothesis 5 (H5): Green Innovation directly promotes High-Quality Development.

Hypothesis 6 (H6): Digital Transformation significantly stimulates High-Quality Development.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND DESIGN

To dissect these complex mechanistic routes precisely, a rigorous quantitative methodology was adopted, ensuring high internal and external validity.

Sample Design and Data Collection

The geographic context of this study focuses on SMEs and listed companies in the Yangtze River Delta region, a high-density economic zone in China frequently analyzed for its digital and green resilience. This region represents an ideal experimental arena given the immense regulatory pressure to comply with carbon neutrality mandates. Data was collected using stratified random sampling, combining perceptual survey data from SME executives with historical longitudinal panel data to eliminate subjective response bias.

Variable Measurement and Psychometric Scales

Measurements utilized a 5-point Likert scale, a standard approach in business management research :

1. Digital-Green Learning Orientation (DGLO)
   Measured using a six-item scale adopted from established sustainability literature to assess the simultaneous absorption of digital and green knowledge.
2. R&D Ambidexterity
   Constructed from 10 items, split evenly to measure Exploratory R&D seizing new radical business opportunities and Exploitative R&D improving existing activities.
3. Sustainable Product Development Performance (SPDP)
   A four-item scale used as a primary proxy to evaluate green innovation outcomes.

Control Variables and Econometric Model

Control variables such as firm age and total asset size were included to prevent omitted variable bias. The hypothesis testing infrastructure was executed via Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) combined with fixed-effect regressions. The mediation path analysis utilized the classic four-step testing architecture, further validated using the Bootstrap method with 5000 iterations at a 95% confidence level. Additionally, fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis (fsQCA) was employed to dissect asymmetrical causal configurations.

Empirical Results and Data Interpretation

The statistical computation definitively unpacks the causal relationships among the variables, providing strong empirical affirmation for the proposed hypotheses.

Reliability, Validity, and Classic Assumption Tests

Internal consistency reliability was established with Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability (CR) scores exceeding the 0.70 academic threshold. Robustness checks confirmed the absence of multicollinearity, with Durbin-Watson (D-W) scores close to 2 and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values well below the strict threshold of 3.

Direct Effects on ESG Management

The regression parameters linking Green Innovation (GI) and Digital Transformation (DT) to ESG Management are summarized below :

The quantitative data indicates that Green Innovation exhibits a substantial capacity to propel ESG architecture (β=0.338). Similarly, Digital Transformation independently injects stimulation into ESG quality (β=0.254). Furthermore, Model 6 recorded an index of β=0.278 ($p<0.001$) for GI promoting DT, absolutely validating Hypothesis H4.

Evaluation of High-Quality Development (HQD) Indicators

The ultimate pragmatic goal of sustainability initiatives is generating robust business margins. The direct linear regression interconnections toward HQD are outlined as follows:

Predictive Relationship Path	Regression Model	Beta Coefficient (β)	Probability	Conclusion
ESG Management -> HQD	Model 9	0.474	$p<0.001$	H3 Supported
Green Innovation -> HQD	Model 8	0.369	$p<0.001$	H5 Supported
Digital Transformation -> HQD	Model 10	0.487	$p<0.001$	H6 Supported

The reliability of ESG Management acts as a massive structural booster for HQD (β=0.474). Additionally, the high coefficient for Digital Transformation (β=0.487) reflects the contemporary economic gravity: capital injection into digital upgrades acts as an absolute efficiency scalpel dictating corporate survival.

Deconstruction of Partial Mediation Effects

The core ontological substance of this research lies in proving the latent mediation effect of ESG governance. When ESG reporting instruments are injected as a structural mediator between Digital Transformation and HQD, the coefficient for DT depreciates from β=0.487 (Model 10) to β=0.391 (Model 12), and settles at β=0.358 in the fully integrated Model 13. This continuous significance ($p<0.001$) alongside coefficient reduction methodologically verifies the partial mediation role of ESG Management.

Mediation Transmission Route	Total Effect	Direct Effect	Indirect Effect	Confidence Interval (5000 Bootstrap)
GI -> ESG -> HQD	0.3193	0.2040	0.1153	Significant (Interval does not contain 0)
DT -> ESG -> HQD	0.3770	0.3030	0.0739	Significant (Interval does not contain 0)

The mathematical interpretation of this decomposition matrix confirms the absolute resilience of the theoretical model. While Digital Transformation holds a higher total composite impact on HQD (0.3770), the specific indirect effect flowing through ESG (0.0739) is thinner compared to the indirect transmission of Green Innovation through ESG (0.1153).

Asymmetrical Configuration and fsQCA Analysis

Moving beyond linear causality, the research utilizes fsQCA to demonstrate that there is no single monolithic algorithmic recipe guaranteeing high ESG performance across all industrial sectors. The fsQCA algorithm firmly highlights the existence of three distinct combinatorial pathways of digitalization and sustainability components capable of triggering high ESG performance. Elements of managerial adaptive intelligence, servitization levels, and financial slack coalesce to multiply organizational resilience.

DISCUSSION AND IN-DEPTH INSIGHTS

The data unveils a hidden architectural law of corporate brand equity: Green Innovation relies far more heavily on institutional mediation (ESG) to translate into High-Quality Development than Digital Transformation does. Digital Transformation blueprints implemented internally such as slashing operational overhead or accelerating go-to-market speed immediately boost internal Profit and Loss (P&L) statements without necessarily requiring external applause. Hence, its direct route to HQD is highly dominant.

Conversely, overhauling factory DNA for Green Innovation (e.g., installing expensive zero-carbon emission filters) consumes massive upfront capital expenditures (CAPEX) without immediate financial returns. Therefore, to transmute this green ecological sacrifice into top-tier competitive advantage, it must be legitimized before the global consumer market and government bureaucracies exclusively through ESG Management channels. Through transparent ESG reporting, leaders can monetize their ecological investments via tax incentives, green financing discounts, and premium consumer loyalty.

Analyzing these empirical results beneath the shadow of global macroeconomic turbulence provides profound grounded wisdom. Recent market intelligence reports reveal a bizarre paradox: while consumer sentiment is generally more pessimistic and anxiety-ridden than at the start of the decade due to post-COVID trauma and persistent inflation, the traditional linear dogma that pessimistic sentiment strictly equals drastically reduced spending has been shattered.

Contemporary consumers demonstrate a cunning tendency to boycott conventional, mass-produced cheap goods, yet they readily dedicate special budgets to subsidize premium pricing for brands that aggressively advocate for environmental preservation and social justice. For SMEs, validating their pro-biosphere claims requires the rigorous backbone of ESG management structures, which in turn must be supported by advanced digital analytic algorithms to ensure tracking precision.

Observing advanced regional economic ecosystems like the Yangtze River Delta, prominent academics at Yangzhou University's Business School have radically shifted research paradigms toward *digital-green fusion*. In the realm of eco-urbanization, this fusion is an absolute strategic axiom. When an entity deploys "cloud computing infrastructure powered by solar panels," the ontological demarcation between an "IT project" and an "ecological project" completely dissolves.

This dissolution aggressively eradicates functional silo mentalities, forcing cross-departmental VRIN resource orchestration aligned with RBV principles. The depth of digital literacy among executives, combined with high-level Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) protection, plays a catalytic role in igniting this hybrid fusion. This is crucial for preventing the explosion of "green patent bubbles" that often haunt transitional economies obsessed with mere statistical output quantities rather than genuine innovation quality.

Strategic Implications and Policy Recommendations

The depth of these empirical interconnections places significant responsibility on academics, corporate executives, and public policy architects.

Theoretical Implications for Business Management Studies

First, this comprehensive research injects fresh conceptual perspectives into orthodox RBV and Dynamic Capabilities theories by introducing synergistic Digital-Green Learning Orientation (DGLO) and big data analytics as absolute 21st-century VRIN resources. The study successfully departs from outdated industrial-era dialectics that viewed environmental compliance merely as a "cost curse," redefining ESG management as a strategic energy converter that multiplies corporate equity value.

Managerial Recommendations for SME Executives

For SME CEOs targeting cross-decade resilience, several absolute strategic execution mandates emerge:

1. Orchestration of Convergent Hybrid Assets

Directors must no longer treat IT and Sustainability (ESG) as competing budgetary silos. Investments in cloud computing and IoT must be designed with carbon-conscious architectures from the blueprint phase.

2. Cultivating Green Knowledge Sharing

The mediation evaluation provides undeniable proof that circulating corporate intelligence or circular knowledge acts as the vital pivot converting digitalization into eco-friendly innovation outcomes. Top Management Teams must foster a culture of environmental awareness and invest in hybrid cloud collaboration software to dismantle functional boundaries.

3. Proactively Utilizing ESG Matrices as Early Warning Radars

Instead of reacting defensively to regulatory pressures (e.g., sudden carbon taxes), leaders should voluntarily embrace ESG assessment dashboards as market intelligence radars to capture shifts in modern consumer preferences.

Macro Policy Recommendations and Government Fiscal Interventions

SME growth in mainland China and the broader Asia-Pacific is currently constrained by financial liquidity bottlenecks and delayed technical adoption. Based on this study's findings, public policy interventions must transcend moral appeals:

1. Diversification of Inclusive Digital Financial Ecosystems

The efficacy of corporate digitalization in triggering high-performance innovation is heavily conditioned by the maturity of regional digital finance. Central banks and monetary regulators must engineer credit pipelines offering soft microloans specifically targeted at SMEs, contingent upon transparent digital telemetry of their carbon footprint data.

2. Synchronized Bundling Subsidies

Recognizing that pure digital transformation is an essential precondition for robust green innovation, tax directorates must restructure corporate fiscal incentives into synchronized bundles. Extraordinary double tax shield relief should be awarded to SMEs that dedicate capital to green-tracking AI computational algorithms.

3. Incubating "Little Giant" Collaborative Ecosystems

Adopting the Chinese governmental model of nurturing "Little Giant" enterprises SMEs specializing in highly niche, advanced technological manufacturing policymakers must enforce cluster-based agglomeration strategies. This drastically reduces the cost of shared logistics and data visibility, ultimately sparking a wave of long-term operational resilience spanning across eras.

CONCLUSION

This comprehensively elaborated academic research has demonstrated, grounded in precise theoretical argumentation and statistical dissection, an economic historical fact: the monumental tidal shift of global commerce toward eco-friendly operational architectures prioritizing sustainability is an absolute law of future gravity that cannot be ignored, delayed, or negotiated.

In the tumultuous post-pandemic macroeconomy, Digital Transformation and Green Innovation represent two inseparable sides of the same coin, forged together as the ultimate weapon to secure absolute competitive supremacy.

Built upon an impenetrable foundation of hard data matrices and powerful regression coefficients, this research definitively crowns ESG Management as the central nervous system, the vital mediator, and the crucial bridge. ESG is no longer just a bureaucratic compliance accessory; it has metamorphosed into a massive centrifugal reactor that purifies digital IT capital and green engineering capabilities into the crystallized manifestation of High-Quality Development, validated by the laws of the free market.

Through the lens of the Resource-Based View and Dynamic Capabilities, the thesis asserts that 21st-century executives must transcend unilateral profit extraction. By framing digital software assets and green initiatives within the protective shell of ESG standards, SMEs will redesign the essence of national economic continuity, injecting fresh vitality into their operations, and laying an eternal foundation for global civilizational prosperity.

REFERENCES

Capgemini Research Institute. (2025). *What Matters to Today's Consumer?*

Chen, J. (2024). Digital Transformation for Chinese SMEs. *Academic Journal of Sociology and Management*, 2(2), 10–21.

Coggins, B., Adams, C., & Alldredge, K. (2024). State of the Consumer: Behaviors and trends in the post-pandemic era. *McKinsey & Company*.

Haq, F. U., Suki, N. M., Zaigham, H., Masood, A., & Rajput, A. (2025). Exploring AI Adoption and SME Performance in Resource-Constrained Environments: A TOE–RBV Perspective with Mediation and Moderation Effects. *Journal Pre-proof*.

Li, D., & Shu, B. (2025). Impacts of Digital Transformation on the Quantity and Quality of Corporate Green Innovation: Evidence from China. *Sustainability*, 17(20), 9341.

Lin, C., et al. (2024). Impact of digital transformation on the resilience of listed companies in the Yangtze River Delta region during public crises. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 880.

National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC). (2024). *Green and Low-Carbon Transformation Industry Guidance Catalogue (2024 Edition)*.

Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: the nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*.

Wang, S. (2024). Scale for SMEs' digital transformation and ESG performance: an fsQCA approach.

Wang, Y., Niu, Z., Zhong, W., & Zhong, M. (2025). ESG carbonwashing and corporate sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 17(13).

Yin, et al. (2024). Measurement scales for digital transformation and green innovation in SMEs research. *Sustainability*, 17(19), 8703.

Zhang, J., Li, H., & Zhao, H. (2025). Digital transformation influence on organizational resilience innovation capability and agile response. *Systems*, 13(2), 75

Zhao, Y., et al. (2024). The influence of green innovation and digital transformation on ESG management mediating role. *Sustainability*, 16(24), 10923.

Chesbrough, H. 2003. Open Innovation: The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting from Technology. Harvard Business School Press.